

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,554	11/02/2001	Hong Thi Nguyen	36968-262341	5036
36192 7590 01/24/2007 CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			EXAMINER	
			LE, KAREN L	
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/003,554	NGUYEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Karen L. Le	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	Sentember 2006					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	<del>/ -</del>						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4-3</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subjected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	ion Papers	, e.					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to but the						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiç ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment	t(s)		(1)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554

Art Unit: 2614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-12, 14-15, 17, 19-22, 24-34, 36-37, 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai et al. (U. S. 6,590,970) in view of Kamel (U.S. 6,009,150).

Regarding claims 1,10, 24 and 32, Cai teaches a method and for setting a limit on the duration of a voice channel communication, comprising the steps of: receiving a communication from a subscriber on a first network element (fig. 1, item 116), said communication causing said first network element to send a request to a second network element (Fig. 1, item 118), in response to said request, sending a message from said second network element to said first network element, causing said first network element to request entry of demarcation information, wherein said demarcation information comprises a demarcation interval, receiving said demarcation information at said first network element, communicating said demarcation information to said second network element, causing said second network element to store said demarcation

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554

Art Unit: 2614

information (Col. 3, lines 32-41, Col. 4, lines 8-30 and 50-60) and wherein said subscriber provides said dermarcation information.

Cai does not teach a method for setting a limit on the duration of a voice channel communication wherein said subscriber provides said demarcation information. However, Kamel teaches a method for setting a limit on the duration of a voice channel communication wherein said subscriber provides said demarcation information (Col.2, Lines 45-51, Col. 3, lines 1-26) and abstract, lines 4-7). Kamel teaches a method that allows the user to predetermine the desired period of time for free or subsidized calling. The user may request additional promotional messages at any time during the connection in order to extend a free or subsidized connection period provide by the system. Thus, a user may earn time allotment, which closely matches the desired length of the call. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Kamel's feature into Cai's system in order to provide flexibility to allow callers to extend their free or subsidized time allotment during the call. It is always advantageous to give an option to earn an extended time allotment for free or subsidized calling by listening to multiple message at any time during a call at the user's request.

Regarding claims 3, 11-12, 17, 25 and 28, Cai further teaches the demarcation information further comprises a time period to which the demarcation interval applies (Col. 4, lines 50-60 and Col. 3, lines 32-41).

Regarding claims 4, 14, 19-20, 26, 36, 39-40, Cai teaches the demarcation information further comprises identification information for a first station participating in

Art Unit: 2614

said voice channel communication (Fig. 1, item 102, Col. 1, lines 21-23 and Col 4, lines 19-24).

Regarding claims 5, 15, 27 and 37, Cai teaches said demarcation information further comprises identification information for a second station participating in said voice channel communication (Fig. 1, item 130, Col. 1, lines 21-23, Col. 4, lines 8-9).

Regarding claims 7, 21, 29 and 41, Cai teaches said first network element comprises a service switching point (Fig. 1, item 116).

Regarding claims 8, 22, 30 and 42, Cai teaches said second network element comprises a service control point (Fig. 1, item 118).

Regarding claims 9, 31 and 43, Cai teaches said second network element further comprises a billing element, billing for accepting and enforcing said demarcation information (Col. 6, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 33-34, Cai teaches first network element comprises a demarcation signal component and a communication disconnection component (col. 3, lines 39-42).

3. Claims 2, 6, 13, 16, 18, 23, 35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai et al. (U. S. 6,590,970) in view of Kamel (U.S. 6,009,150) and further in view of Smith (U.S. 5,559,871)

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Cai does not teach the step of storing said demarcation information comprises: comparing said demarcation interval to a default demarcation interval; determining which quantity is a lesser quantity; and storing said lesser quantity as said demarcation interval. However, Smith comparing said demarcation interval to a default demarcation interval; determining which quantity is a lesser quantity; and storing said lesser quantity as said demarcation interval (Col. 5, lines 29-45).

Regarding claims 6, 16, 23 and 38, Cai does not teach receiving of said demarcation information comprises receiving said demarcation interval as a monetary quantity. However Smith teaches receiving of said demarcation information comprises receiving said demarcation interval as a monetary quantity (Col. 1, lines 30-32).

Regarding claims 13 and 35 Cai does not teach identification information comprises a password, said password causing said second network element to determine that said demarcation interval is infinite. However, Smith teaches identification information comprises a password, said password causing said second network element to determine that said demarcation interval is infinite (col. 5, lines 29-45).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,554 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon and Thurs 8:30 am-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karen le

**KLL** 

January 5, 2007

AHMAD F. MATAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700**